REMARKS

Claims 1 and 3-13 are pending and under consideration. Claim 1 has been amended. Support for the amendment to claim 1 may be found at paragraph [0064] of the specification of record, in Fig. 1B, and in claim 2. Claim 2 is canceled herein without prejudice or disclaimer. Further reconsideration is requested based on the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

Response to Arguments:

The Applicants appreciate the consideration given to their arguments. The Applicants, however, are disappointed that their arguments were not found to be persuasive. The Advisory Action mailed July 20, 2009 asserts in section 1, in the second full paragraph at page 2, that:

When looking at the claim language, the feature in contention involves the first phase of facsimile communication (phase A: Call Establishment). During this phase, the sending fax transmits the CNG signal and the receiving fax responds with a CED tone. This exchange is seen in figures 6 and 7 in the reference of Endo. As stated in Endo, the calling fax goes off hook and the number dialed is sent to the transmitting gateway and a connection request is sent to the receiving fax's gateway in order to make a call to the receiving facsimile'.

Since sending the number *dialed* to the transmitting gateway and sending a connection *request* to the receiving fax's gateway in order to make a call to the receiving facsimile are events that take place *while* the transmission-controlling connection is being set up, Endo sends "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway," *while* the "transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway." is being set up, not "after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in, for example, claim 1.

The Advisory Action asserts further in section 1, also in the second full paragraph at page 2, that:

Within the above mentioned section, this clearly discloses "after setting up transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway' because the connection between the faxes and their respective gateways are established before the information, such as the NSS signal that identifies the capabilities that the calling fax is using during the facsimile process that is common with the called fax.

To the contrary, while Endo may be setting up the connection, "the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," has still not

been established when Endo sends the NSS signal, since, as noted in the Advisory Action, the NSS signal identifies the capabilities that the calling fax is using during the facsimile process that is common with the called fax.

Finally, the Advisory Action asserts in section 1, at page 3, that:

The Sakurai reference discloses in figures 13 and 5 that the calling fax establishes a connection with its gateway and the called fax also connects with its own gateway before the sending fax sends the TSI signal from the calling fax device from the calling gateway to the called gateway2. Once the two faxes and the gateways establish communication with each other, the calling gateway provides the called gateway with the identification information from the calling, or sending, fax.

Since, as noted in the Advisory Action, the calling gateway provides the called gateway with the identification information from the calling, or sending, fax, once the two faxes and the gateways establish communication with each other, Sakurai is still setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway when the TSI signal is sent, not "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in, for example, claim 1.

Nevertheless, in the interest of compact prosecution only, and not for any reason of patentability, the sixth clause of claim 1 has been amended to recite "setting up a transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second data gateway substantially simultaneously with the transmission-controlling connection between the first fax machine and the first data gateway."

Further reconsideration is thus requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103:

Claims 1 and 3-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the section of the subject application entitled "Background of the Invention" (hereinafter "the Background"), to which the final Office Action refers as "Admitted Prior Art," in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,381,038 to Endo et al. (hereinafter "Endo") and US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0001373 to Sakurai (hereinafter "Sakurai"). The rejection is traversed to the extent it might apply to the claims as amended. Reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Endo deals with substantially the same problem as the claimed invention. The similarity ends at the time the payload channel is open. This point in time is marked in Fig. 2B of the subject application as t13. Endo, in particular, adjusts timeout values according to transmission delays that have been experienced. The connection from a sending FAX machine to the receiving FAX machine is set up as an end-to-end connection, even though the protocol (T.30 --> T.38 --> T.30) and transmission technology (TDM --> packet --> TDM) changes within the network.

In the claimed invention, the setup of a signaling connection between a sending FAX machine and a receiving FAX machine is done as in Endo. This is also true for the setup of the payload channel *until* the point in time marked as t13 (refer to Figs. 1B and 2B). So far no (exactly NO) timer needs to be adjusted due to transmission delays, because no payload has been transferred so far.

At this point the claimed invention, as opposed to Endo, proposes a completely different solution: Data gateways 1 and 2, in conjunction with the fax protocol units FX 1 and FX 2, act independently and approximately at the same time by exchanging messages 138/140 and 148/150, respectively. This opens the payload connection immediately on both sides of the packet network, and therefore independent from any transmission delays which may occur in the packet network. Furthermore a new message is introduced that is transferred from the data gateway 2 to the fax protocol unit FX 2, but this does not show up outside of the PBX2 (refer to Fig. 3). There is no need to adjust (i.e. to prolong) any timeouts in the FAX machines, as in Endo. The claimed invention, moreover, improves the speed of the process compared to, for example, a process like that of Endo, as described at page 14, lines 13, 14, and 15 of the specification. Any FAX machine or other equipment compliant with the T.30 standard can be used.

The sixth clause of claim 1, in particular, recites:

Setting up a transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second data gateway substantially simultaneously with the transmission-controlling connection between the first fax machine and the first data gateway.

Neither the Background, Endo, nor Sakurai teach, disclose, or suggest "setting up a transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second data gateway substantially simultaneously with the transmission-controlling connection between the first fax machine and the first data gateway," as recited in claim 1.

The final Office Action acknowledges graciously in the first full paragraph at page 12, that "the admitted prior art in view of Endo `038 and Sakurai `373 fails to teach set up

synchronously," and attempts to compensate for the deficiency by combining them with US Patent No. 6,480,585 to Johnson. Johnson, however, is not "setting up a transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second data gateway substantially simultaneously with the transmission-controlling connection between the first fax machine and the first data gateway" either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of the Background, Endo, or Sakurai with respect to claim 1. In Johnson, rather, the first facsimile 103 calls the first gateway 106 by way of the local loop 119 to establish a data link with the second facsimile 116, the first gateway 106 then contacts the second gateway 113 by way of the network 109, and the second gateway 113 contacts the second facsimile 116 through the local loop 123. In particular, as described at column 2, lines 62-67, continuing at column 3, lines 1 and 2:

During operation, for example, the first facsimile 103 calls the first gateway 106 by way of the local loop 119 to establish a data link with the second facsimile 116. The first gateway 106 then contacts the second gateway 113 by way of the network 109. Finally, the second gateway 113 contacts the second facsimile 116 through the local loop 123 to complete the data link between the first facsimile 103 and the second facsimile 116.

Since, in Johnson, the first facsimile 103 calls the first gateway 106 by way of the local loop 119 to establish a data link with the second facsimile 116, the first gateway 106 then contacts the second gateway 113 by way of the network 109, and the second gateway 113 contacts the second facsimile 116 through the local loop 123, Johnson is not "setting up a transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second data gateway substantially simultaneously with the transmission-controlling connection between the first fax machine and the first data gateway" either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of the Background, Endo, or Sakurai with respect to claim 1.

The final clause of claim 1 recites:

Transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway.

Neither the Background, Endo, nor Sakurai teach, disclose, or suggest "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1.

The final Office Action acknowledges this deficiency with respect to the Background in section 3, in the first full paragraph at page 8, and attempts to compensate for it by combining

the Background with Endo and Sakurai. Endo, however, is not "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway" either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of either the Background or Sakurai with respect to claim 1. Each of the facsimile machines of Endo, rather, monitors whether the response signal is received from the counterpart facsimile machine within the timer value and, if the response signal is not received within the timer value, retransmits the transmitted signal. In particular, as described in the Abstract:

Using the timer value, each of the facsimile machines monitors whether the response signal is received from the counterpart facsimile machine within the timer value. If the response signal is not received within the timer value, retransmission of the transmitted signal is required.

Thus, Endo has no use for "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1.

Endo, moreover, appears to have at least noticed the problem solved by the claimed application, but approached a solution by having the calling and called facsimile machines increase their timer values using the selected timer value change information, as discussed above, instead of "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1. In particular, as also described in the Abstract:

The called facsimile machine transmits all timer value change information for increasing the timer value to the calling facsimile machine. The calling facsimile machine selects the optimum timer value change information within the range of the timer value change information received from the called facsimile machine, and transmits the selected timer value change information to the called facsimile machine. The calling and called facsimile machines increase their timer values using the selected timer value change information.

Thus, Endo is not "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway" either, and cannot make up for the deficiencies of the Background with respect to claim 1.

In Endo, moreover, the calling facsimile machine judges that a transmission failure has occurred and *retransmits* the DCS or NSS signal and then the TCF signal unless the CFR signal is received within 3 seconds from the called facsimile machine. In particular, as described at column 12, lines 21-26:

For example, upon transmitting the TCF signal, the calling facsimile machine sets a T4 timer value (3 seconds). Then, unless the CFR signal is received within 3 seconds from the called facsimile machine, the calling facsimile machine judges that a transmission failure has occurred, and retransmits the DCS or NSS signal and then the TCF signal.

Since, in Endo, the calling facsimile machine judges that a transmission failure has occurred and retransmits the DCS or NSS signal and then the TCF signal unless the CFR signal is received within 3 seconds from the called facsimile machine, Endo has no need for "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1.

In Endo, moreover, if the normal T4 timer value (3 seconds) is set in the timer 55 at step S10, since more than 3 seconds have elapsed after the transmission of the TCF signal in an example of FIG. 7, it is necessary that the facsimile machine 111 *retransmits* the NSS signal and the TCF signal. In particular as described at column 16, lines 11-16:

The facsimile machine 111 receives the CFR signal from the gateway 211. If the normal T4 timer value (3 seconds) is set in the timer 55 at step S10, since more than 3 seconds have elapsed after the transmission of the TCF signal in an example of FIG. 7, it is necessary that the facsimile machine 111 retransmits the NSS signal and the TCF signal.

Since, in Endo, if the normal T4 timer value (3 seconds) is set in the timer 55 at step S10, since more than 3 seconds have elapsed after the transmission of the TCF signal in an example of FIG. 7, it is necessary that the facsimile machine 111 retransmits the NSS signal and the TCF signal, Endo has no need for "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1.

In Endo, moreover, the twofold-increased T4 timer value of 6 seconds is set at step S10 and further the CFR signal is received within a lapse of 6 seconds, although after a lapse of 3 seconds, from the transmission of the TCF signal. In particular, as described at column 16, lines 17-23:

However, in the example of FIG. 7, the twofold-increased T4 timer value of 6 seconds is set at step S10 and further the CFR signal is received within a lapse of 6 seconds, although after a lapse of 3 seconds, from the transmission of the TCF signal. Accordingly, the facsimile machine 111 recognizes that the CFR signal is received within the normal response time.

Since, in Endo, the twofold-increased T4 timer value of 6 seconds is set at step S10 and further the CFR signal is received within a lapse of 6 seconds, although after a lapse of 3 seconds, from the transmission of the TCF signal, Endo has no need for "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in, for example, claim 1.

In Endo, moreover, the retransmission of the NSS signal and the TCF signal, which would be otherwise required due to the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal caused by the foregoing communication delay from the gateway 221 to the gateway 211, is not required so that the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal can be absorbed. In particular, as described at column 16, lines 24-28:

Thus, the retransmission of the NSS signal and the TCF signal, which would be otherwise required due to the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal caused by the foregoing communication delay from the gateway 221 to the gateway 211, is not required so that the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal can be absorbed.

Since, in Endo, the retransmission of the NSS signal and the TCF signal, which would be otherwise required due to the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal caused by the foregoing communication delay from the gateway 221 to the gateway 211, is not required so that the delay of the CFR signal relative to the TCF signal can be absorbed, Endo has no need for "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as recited in claim 1.

Sakurai, for its part, transmits a preamble signal 432 following a no-signal state 450 of 75.+-.20 ms after transmitting the CED signal 431, as discussed above. In particular, as described in paragraph [0012]:

After transmitting the CED signal 431, the incoming call side G3 facsimile apparatus 2202 transmits a preamble signal 432 following a no-signal state 450 of 75.+-.20 ms. The incoming gateway apparatus 1202 transmits preamble data 422 by an IFP packet.

Since Sakurai transmits a preamble signal 432 following a no-signal state 450 of 75.+-.20 ms after transmitting the CED signal 431, Sakurai is not "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway" either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of either the Background are Endo with respect to claim 1.

Sakurai, moreover, hopes that a transmission delay occurs which is generally longer than that occurring in a telephone switched network, as discussed above. In particular, as described further in paragraph [0012]:

At this point, since an IP network 200 is provided between the incoming side gateway apparatus 1202 and the outgoing side gateway apparatus 1201, transmission delay occurs which is generally longer than that occurring in a telephone switched network. When a delayed time of the IP network at a time t is assumed to be Td(t) ms, it takes Td(t) ms for the IFP packet of the preamble data 422 to reach the outgoing side gateway apparatus 1201.

Since Sakurai hopes that a transmission delay occurs which is generally longer than that occurring in a telephone switched network, Sakurai is not "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway" either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of either the Background are Endo with respect to claim 1.

Therefore, even if the Background, Endo and Sakurai were combined, as proposed in the final Office Action, the claimed invention would not result.

The final Office Action, nevertheless, asserts in section 3, in the last full paragraph at page 8, that:

Therefore, in view of Sakurai `373, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to have the feature of after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway, transmitting identification information of the sending fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway, incorporated in the device of the admitted prior art, as modified by the features of Endo `038, in order to connect the facsimile apparatus to the respective gateway for the system to know the devices transmission or receipt state (as stated in Sakurai `373 paragraph [0047]).

Since each of the facsimile machines of Endo, however, monitors whether the response signal is received from the counterpart facsimile machine within the timer value, as discussed

above, Endo already *knows* the device's transmission or receipt state. Endo is complete in itself. It would not be necessary for Endo to *also* transmit "identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway" "after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," since Endo already *knows* the device's transmission or receipt state. It is submitted, therefore, the persons of ordinary skill in the art who read the references for all they contained would not have modified the Background as proposed in the final Office Action, since it would not have been necessary. Claim 1 is submitted to be allowable. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1 is earnestly solicited.

Claims 3-8 depend from claim 1 and add additional distinguishing elements. Claims 3-8 are thus also submitted to be allowable. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 3-8 is earnestly solicited.

Claims 9-13:

Claims 2 and 9-13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Background, Endo and Sakurai in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,585 to Johnson (hereinafter "Johnson"). The rejection is traversed to the extent it would apply to the claims as amended. Reconsideration is earnestly solicited.

Claims 9-13 depend from claim 1 and add further distinguishing elements. Neither the Background, Endo, nor Sakurai teach, disclose, or suggest "transmitting identification information of the sending first fax machine from the first data gateway to the second data gateway after setting up the transmission-controlling connection between the second fax machine and the second gateway," as discussed above with respect to the rejection of claim 1. Johnson does not either, and thus cannot make up for the deficiencies of either the Background of Endo with respect to claims 9-13.

Claims 9-13 are thus also submitted to be allowable. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 9-13 is earnestly solicited.

Conclusion:

Accordingly, in view of the reasons given above, it is submitted that all of claims 1 and 3-13 are allowable over the cited references. Allowance of all claims 1 and 3-13 and of this entire application is therefore respectfully requested.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing this Amendment, please charge them to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: August 18, 2009 By: /Thomas E. McKiernan/

Thomas E. McKiernan Registration No. 37,889

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501